

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PROJECT SENTINEL; DOREEN  
CORDERO; and JILL HICKEY,  
individually and on behalf of the  
GENERAL PUBLIC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KAMAL LAL and USHA LAL,  
individually; KAMAL LAL, in his capacity  
as Trustee of the LAL FAMILY TRUST  
OF 1998; and BABU PRASAD, in his  
capacity as Trustee of the LAL FAMILY  
TRUST OF 1998,

Defendants.

No. 1:98-cv-05688-LJO

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY  
COMPLIANCE STATEMENT  
REQUIREMENT SHOULD NOT BE  
DISCONTINUED

(Doc. No. 195)

This is a housing discrimination case filed on June 22, 1998, in which the parties entered into a consent decree on May 22, 2000. (*See* Doc. No. 195.) The decree requires that “[e]ach year on the anniversary date of the entry of this consent decree, defendants and their Authorized Rental Agent(s) shall file with this Court and serve [plaintiff] Project Sentinel with a statement signed under penalty of perjury verifying” certain information specified in the agreement, “over the last year.” (*Id.* at § II(13).) The Court retained jurisdiction for purposes of enforcement, (*id.* at § II(14)), and the docket demonstrates annual compliance reports filed by defendant Kamal Lal from 2005 through 2020, (Doc. Nos. 223-238). During the certification period referenced, no

1 enforcement action has been initiated or requested.

2 In light the history related above, the Court **ORDERS** plaintiffs to **SHOW CAUSE** in  
3 writing whether continued annual certification remains necessary in this matter. Plaintiffs shall  
4 file a response to this order no later than **July 14, 2020**.

5  
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: **May 18, 2020**

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE